

Design Science, Engineering Science and Requirements Engineering

Roel Wieringa & Hans Heerkens
University of Twente
The Netherlands

12 sept 2008

RE 08

1

1. The design science debate in the computing sciences

12 sept 2008

RE 08

2

Software engineering (Department of computer science)

- 1980s
 - ICSE 2003:
 - “Empirical software engineering; separate from design community
- 1990s
 - Constructing test suites for interaction testing
 - Complaints about lack of validation
 - Improving test suites via operational abstraction
 - Papers about how to do experimental and case study research
 - Recovering documentation-to-source-code traceability links using latent semantic indexing
- 2000s
 - Computer-assisted assume/guarantee reasoning with VeriSoft
 - Increasing number of papers validate their solution
 - Complaints about transfer of solutions to practice
 - “How to do X, or how to do X better”

12 sept 2008

RE 08

3

Information systems (Department of management science)

- 1980s
 - Complaints about lack of empirical rigour
 - ICIS 1997:
 - Papers about empirical methods for IS research
 - Successful IS innovation: the contingent contributions of innovation characteristics and implementation process
- 1990s
 - The effects of task interruption and information presentation on individual decision making
 - Empirical papers
- 2000s
 - The impact of CASE on IS professionals' work and motivation to use CASE
 - Complaint about lack of relevance
 - The impact of information technology on coordination costs: implications for firm productivity
 - Attempt to include design in IS research

....

12 sept 2008

RE 08

4

Other responses in IS to increase relevance

- Context-rich research methods
 - Case study research, pilot projects
 - Action research

12 sept 2008

RE 08

5

Design & Research

- SE:
 - Lack of relevance (transfer) of design results
 - Let's do more empirical research to validate our results!
- IS:
 - Lack of relevance (use) of empirical research results.
 - Let's include more design!
- So let's do both research and design
 - How to combine?

12 sept 2008

RE 08

6

2. Lessons from the history of technology & science

12 sept 2008

RE 08

7

Linear model of tech transfer


- Science Design
- Basic science → Applied science → Engineering → Production
 - Vanevar Bush 1946
 - Engineering schools late 19th century
 - Francis Bacon early 17th century
 - If true then funding of basic science would be the best way to stimulate economy,
 - and general theory would be more useful than special theory
 - Supporting evidence has been extremely difficult to find
 - where found, it is controversial
 - Falsifying evidence is amply available
 - However:
 - Encoded in OECD statistics
 - And in policy thinking

12 sept 2008

RE 08

8

Concurrent model



- Instruments transferred/developed for to science
 - Telescopes, barometers
- Theory applied to develop artifacts
 - Ultrasound theory and artifacts (echo)
 - E.g. Telephone, Radio
 - But needed entrepreneurs (Bell, Marconi) to do that
- Theory developed by investigating artifacts
 - Steam machines & thermodynamics

12 sept 2008

RE 08

9

3. Rigor “versus” relevance

12 sept 2008

RE 08

10

Relevance of artifacts

Risk of irrelevance

- Engineers may develop artifacts & techniques to
 - solve some actual or expected problem
 - or to achieve actual or expected stakeholder goals
- Relevance of artifacts & techniques may come and go
 - E.g. crystal detectors
- Relevance is the result of problem choice, not of validation
 - Validation reduces risk of promising what you cannot deliver

12 sept 2008

RE 08

11

Curiosity & utility

- Researchers may investigate phenomena for various reasons
 - Curiosity (Max Born)
 - Curiosity and utility (Pasteur)
- Engineers may develop artifacts for various reasons
 - Utility (Edison)
 - Utility and curiosity (Myth Busters)
- Motives versus effects

12 sept 2008

RE 08

12

- For relevant and irrelevant knowledge, the evaluation criterion is:
 - Is the truth claim justified?
 - Researchers should never claim more than they can justify

12 sept 2008

RE 08

13

Rigor ← of knowledge production and of artifact development

- In research:
 - Not claiming more than you can justify
 - “In which way can I be wrong?”
 - “In which way find a better approximation of the truth?”
- In engineering:
 - Not specifying more than you can achieve
 - “In which way could this artifact fail?”
 - “In which way can this artifact be improved?”
- Same kind of critical attitude

12 sept 2008

RE 08

14

Rigor “versus” relevance

- Dilemma introduced by Donald Schön in 1983
 - He believed that physical science and engineering follow the linear model
 - Lamented that following this model in social science has not produced useful results.
 - Did not realize that this model does not generally produce useful results in physical and technical science either
 - Even though the results satisfy our curiosity
 - He proposed reflective practice as relevance-enhancing alternative
 - But this is exactly as it works in technical sciences!
 - Using rigorous scientific methods

12 sept 2008

RE 08

15

4. Conditions of practice

12 sept 2008

RE 08

16

Investigating artifacts

- Conditions of practice
 - Context of use contains many variables
 - Standards, norms, legal criteria
 - E.g. thermodynamics & combustion technology
 - Bridge (Polya)
- Non-analytical solutions
 - Approximate computations
 - Modeling and simulation
- Context-rich research methods
 - Pilot projects
 - Test flights
- No difference in research methods

12 sept 2008

RE 08

17

5. Conclusions

12 sept 2008

RE 08

18

1. Not a linear but a concurrent model of science-technology interaction
2. Knowledge claims should be valid (rigorous methods), regardless of their relevance
3. Conditions of practice call for context-rich methods
4. “Design science” proposals ignore problem choice, are complicated and simplistic
5. RE is the attainment of relevance of artifacts
 - Mutually aligning artifacts and stakeholder goals

Theses

1. Design and research are separate activities

- May be interleaved, but still separate
 - Production of artifacts or knowledge
 - Need to do different things to solve design or research problems
- Solving a technical problem
 - Solution evaluation by utility
 - Stakeholder goals to be analyzed
- Answering a research question
 - Answer evaluation by truth
 - Phenomena to be investigated

12 sept 2008

RE 08

21

2. “Design science ” is an ill-defined concept

- Scientific study of the design process?
- Using scientific knowledge in the design process where needed?
- Research within a design process?
 - e.g. diagnosis of problematic phenomena,
 - investigation of solution prototypes
 - evaluation of implementations

12 sept 2008

RE 08

22

3. Engineering is not the application of basic science

- It is the application of “the” scientific method in the development of artifacts
 - only promise what you can deliver
 - validation before implementation
 - use available validated knowledge

12 sept 2008

RE 08

23

4. Research should be avoided by engineers when possible

- Balance the risk of wasting money on research against the risk of delivering a faulty product
 - Use available knowledge
 - Make educated assumptions

12 sept 2008

RE 08

24

4. Statistical validity is not relevant when validating a design

- We need to understand the **mechanisms** by which the design in its intended environment will achieve its goals
- “A sample of 56 propeller had property P in wind tunnel, so all propellers on planes have property P (95% confidence interval)”
 - Not convincing.
 - Need to understand turbulence phenomena in wind tunnel, in the air, and their relation
 - Law of similitude
 - Analytical generalization (Yin)

12 sept 2008

RE 08

25

5. There is little reward in validating designs

- Harley Davidson Effect:
 - Designers are interested in presenting their new design
 - Readers are interested in reading about new designs
 - Industry is interested in unconstrained designs
- Irrelevant designs are fine as long as someone wants to pay the bill
 - They may become relevant at any later time
 - And vice versa

12 sept 2008

RE 08

26

6. The dilemma of rigor versus relevance is neither rigorous nor relevant

- Relevance is the match between a solution and stakeholder goals
- Rigor is the use of sound methods to produce results (knowledge or artifacts)
- There is no dilemma
- There are nor general norms for relevance
- And not for rigor either

12 sept 2008

RE 08

27

7. There no scientific method

- There not one single scientific method, not even per discipline
- Research method should be driven by research problem
 - Number of variables
 - Available resources for research
 - Intended use of knowledge
- Any method could be used, but do not claim more than you can justify
 - Away with “nothing but” ideologies
 - Positivism
 - Interpretativism

12 sept 2008

RE 08

28

8. Relevance of designs is not increased by validation

- Relevance is the result of problem choice, not of validation
- Tech transfer is caused by *perceived relevance*
 - hype
 - groupthink
 - crowd behavior
 - or entrepreneurial risk taking
 - rational decision